arXiv:1202.4415v1 [math-ph] 20 Feb 2012

NEW FAMILIES OF WEYL GROUP ORBIT FUNCTIONS

R.V. MOODY[1], L. MOTLOCHOVÁ[3], AND J. PATERA[2]

Abstract. Two families of Weyl group-invariant functions, denoted C, S, are known to arise from the irreducible representations of the compact simple Lie groups, and they have been studied extensively. These special functions derive respectively from Weyl orbits and from alternating Weyl orbits associated with the sign character of the Weyl group.

In the case that the roots of the Lie group are of two different lengths, there are 'mixed sign' characters and they give rise to two new families of functions, the S^L and S^S families. The subject of the paper is the definition and properties of these new functions. We describe their symmetry properties, their continuous orthogonality over the fundamental region F of the affine Weyl group of the corresponding simple Lie algebra, and their behaviour at the boundary of F. This involves a study of the subroot systems composed respectively of the long and short roots, and on the way in which their Weyl groups fit into the Weyl group of the original roots system.

[1] Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2G1, Canada
[2] Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal, C. P. 6128 – Centre ville, Montréal, H3C 3J7, Québec, Canada
[3] Département de mathématiques et de statistiques, Université de Montréal, C. P. 6128 – Centre ville, Montréal, H3C 3J7, Québec, Canada
E-mail: rmoody@ualberta.ca, motlochova@dms.umontreal.ca, patera@crm.umontreal.ca

1. Introduction

In this paper we describe four families of special functions, denoted C, S, and S^L, S^S in (18), attached to compact simple Lie groups. Two of the families, C and S, are well known in Lie theory. They exist for any compact simple Lie group [1]. The new families, S^L and S^S, exist only when the underlying simple Lie group has roots of 2 lengths. They can be viewed as 'hybrid' analogues of the functions of the known families.

Both the new and old families of functions are defined through the orbits of the Weyl groups (finite crystallographic Coxeter groups) of compact simple Lie groups. The reason why the two new families of functions exist only for simple Lie groups with two lengths of roots, is the fact that it is possible to treat the Weyl group symmetry differently for the two different root lengths. We treat all four families in a uniform way. All of these functions share valuable properties for applications: the number of their real variables is equal to the rank of the underlying simple Lie group, they are orthogonal as continuous functions, and they have well defined symmetry properties with respect to the boundary of the domain F of their orthogonality.

History of the new families is rather short. Implicitly they can be found in [2] but they were first pointed out in [3] on the case of C_2. In the extensive literature about polynomials in two variables one can undoubtedly find polynomials that could be transformed into those in [3]. However as far as we know, [3] is the first place where the polynomials of S^L and S^S families

---

Date: February 21, 2012.

are linked with compact simple Lie groups of rank 2. This served as the motivating idea for the present paper. Moreover, the existence of four families of orbit functions increases the number of related families of E-functions to six [4]. Recently the results of this paper were exploited in the case of G_2 in [5].

2. Root systems with 2 root lengths

The simple Lie algebras of any rank $n \geq 1$ are conveniently specified by their Coxeter-Dynkin diagrams or equivalently by their Cartan matrices. Conventions in the diagrams provide angles and relative lengths of the basis in the real Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension n. With each simple Lie algebra is associated a finite reflection group W (the Weyl group) that generates the root system Δ of the algebra from its simple roots.

The simple Lie algebras that have simple roots of two lengths are of the types:

$$B_n\ (n \geq 2)\,, \qquad C_n\ (n \geq 2)\,, \qquad F_4\,, \qquad G_2\,. \tag{1}$$

The finite root systems of B_2 and C_2 are isomorphic, but we allow both here.

The remaining simple Lie algebras (the simply-laced types),

$$A_n\ (n \geq 1)\,, \quad D_n\ (n \geq 4)\,, \quad E_6\,, \quad E_7\,, \quad E_8\,, \tag{2}$$

have all roots of the same length.

All finite dimensional irreducible representations of the Lie algebras (1) are self-contragredient (i.e. they are either orthogonal or symplectic). This implies a property of the Weyl group orbits that subsequently will be of importance to us:

Corollary 2.1.
A Weyl group orbit W_λ of any of the Lie algebras (1) has the following property,

$$\text{if} \quad \mu \in W_\lambda\,, \quad \text{then also} \quad -\mu \in W_\lambda\,. \tag{3}$$

The only Lie algebras (2) with the property (3) are A_1, E_7, and E_8.

2.1. Tools.

First let us recall some standard facts of the theory. There are two pairs of lattices in $\mathbb{R}^n$, the root lattice Q, the weight lattice P and their duals:

$$Q = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\alpha_n\,, \qquad \check{Q} = \mathbb{Z}\check{\alpha}_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\check{\alpha}_n\,. \tag{4}$$

$$P = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\omega_n\,, \qquad \check{P} = \mathbb{Z}\check{\omega}_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\check{\omega}_n\,. \tag{5}$$

$$P^+ = \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}\omega_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}\omega_n\,, \qquad \check{P}^+ = \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}\check{\omega}_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}\check{\omega}_n\,. \tag{6}$$

Here, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is a basis of simple roots for Q and $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ is the corresponding basis of fundamental weights for P. The relationships between the lattices and between the various root and weight bases and their co-equivalents described below are summarized in:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} & \subset & Q & & \check{Q} & \supset & \{\check{\alpha}_1, \dots, \check{\alpha}_n\} \\
 & & \cap & \times & \cap & & \\
\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\} & \subset & P & & \check{P} & \supset & \{\check{\omega}_1, \dots, \check{\omega}_n\} \\
 & & \cap & & \cap & & \\
 & & \mathfrak{t}^* & & \mathfrak{t} & &
\end{array} \tag{7}$$

Here the times symbol is meant to indicate that Q and $\check{P}$, as well as P and $\check{Q}$, are in $\mathbb{Z}$-duality with each other (the indicated bases are also in $\mathbb{Z}$-duality), $\mathfrak{t} \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$ corresponds to the Lie algebra $i\mathfrak{t}$ of the maximal torus $\mathbb{T}$ of a simply connected simple Lie group G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{t}^*$ denote the dual space of $\mathfrak{t}$ on which we have a natural positive definite form $(\,\cdot \mid \cdot\,)$. Furthermore, let $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ be the natural pairing of $\mathfrak{t}^*$ and $\mathfrak{t}$, and let $\Delta \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ denote the root system. Also indicated are the positive cones defined by the weight and co-weight bases.

Furthermore,

$$B_n \ : \ (Q+\omega_n)+Q = P\,, \qquad C_n \ : \ (Q+\omega_1)+Q = P\,, \qquad F_4 \ : \ Q = P\,, \qquad G_2 \ : \ Q = P\,.$$

Let ξ be the highest root,

$$\xi = m_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + m_n\alpha_n \tag{8}$$

Now we define the standard simplex F in $\mathbb{R}^n$, which serves as a fundamental cell for the affine Weyl group $W^{\mathrm{aff}} = W \ltimes \check{Q}$:

$$F := \{x \mid \langle\alpha_j, x\rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all} \ \ j = 1,\ldots,n, \quad \langle\xi, x\rangle \leq 1\}\,, \tag{9}$$

Its vertices are

$$F = \left\{\tfrac{1}{m_1}\check{\omega}_1, \tfrac{1}{m_2}\check{\omega}_2, \ldots, \tfrac{1}{m_n}\check{\omega}_n\right\}\,. \tag{10}$$

where m_k, $k = 1,2,\ldots,n$, are the coefficients of the highest root. The highest roots of the Lie algebras (1) are the following.

$$\begin{aligned} B_n \ &: \quad \xi = \alpha_1 + 2\sum_{k=2}^{n}\alpha_k & C_n \ &: \quad \xi = 2\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\alpha_k + \alpha_n \\ F_4 \ &: \quad \xi = 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 & G_2 \ &: \quad \xi = 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We also need to introduce the affine Weyl group W^{aff}: W^{aff} is generated by reflections r_i with respect to hyperplanes, which have common point at the origin of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and which are orthogonal to simple roots α_i, $i = 1\ldots n$, together with the reflection r_0 defined by

$$r_0 x = r_\xi x + \frac{2\xi}{(\xi \mid \xi)}\,, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n\,, \tag{12}$$

where r_ξ is a reflection with respect to a hyperplane, which have common point at the origin of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and which is orthogonal to highest root ξ. Corresponding to the generating reflections, we denote the $(n-1)$-dimensional faces of F by $y_0,\ y_1,\ \ldots,\ y_n$.

2.2. **Long and short roots.**

Let Δ_+ and Δ_- denote the positive and negative roots of Δ with respect to the root bases given above. We denote long and short things by the superscript labels L, S respectively, so in particular we have the sets of long and short roots Δ^L, and Δ^S:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^L :=& \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \alpha \text{ is a long root}\} \\ \Delta^S :=& \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \alpha \text{ is a short root}\}\,. \end{aligned}$$

In particular the simple roots that belong to Δ^L are the following

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^L(B_n) &\ni \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1} & \Delta^L(C_n) &\ni \alpha_n, \\ \Delta^L(F_4) &\ni \alpha_1, \alpha_2 & \Delta^L(G_2) &\ni \alpha_1\,. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Let us write Δ^T for either Δ^L, or Δ^S, that is $T \in \{L, S\}$, and then define $\Delta^T_+ := \Delta^T \cap \Delta_+$ once a system of positive roots Δ_+ of Δ has been chosen.

Lie algebra	A_n	B_n	C_n	D_n	F_4	G_2	E_6	E_7	E_8
$\lvert\Delta\rvert$	$n(n+1)$	$2n^2$	$2n^2$	$2n^2-2n$	48	12	72	126	240
$\lvert W\rvert$	$(n+1)!$	$n!\,2^n$	$n!\,2^n$	$n!\,2^{n-1}$	$2^7\,3^2$	12	$2^7\,3^4\,5$	$2^{10}\,3^4\,5\,7$	$2^{14}\,3^5\,5^2\,7$

Table 1. The number of non-zero roots $|\Delta|$ of simple Lie algebras and the orders $|W|$ of their Weyl groups.

Δ^T is stabilized by W and it is easy to see that Δ^T spans $\mathfrak{t}^*$. Thus the sets Δ^L, Δ^S form root systems in their own right since each is invariant under the reflections in its elements.

Proposition 2.2. Δ^T is a root system in $\mathfrak{t}^*$.

Recall [6] that to say that Δ is a root system in $\mathfrak{t}^*$ is equivalent to saying that :

- Δ is finite and spans $\mathfrak{t}^*$
- For $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$, we have $\frac{2(\alpha|\beta)}{(\alpha|\alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta - \frac{2(\alpha|\beta)}{(\alpha|\alpha)}\alpha \in \Delta$
- For all $\alpha \in \Delta\,,\ \mathbb{R}\alpha \cap \Delta = \{\pm\alpha\}$

Proposition 2.3. Δ^T_+ is a system of positive roots for Δ^T.

Proof. All systems of positive roots in any root system Σ arise as $\Sigma_+ = \{\alpha \in \Sigma \mid (\nu \mid \alpha) > 0\}$ for some ν in the span of Σ [6]. Now with ϱ being half the sum of the positive roots of Δ, we have $\Delta_+ = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid (\varrho \mid \alpha) > 0\}$. Then $\Delta^T_+ = \big\{\alpha \in \Delta^T \mid \alpha \in \Delta_+\big\} = \big\{\alpha \in \Delta^T \mid (\varrho \mid \alpha) > 0\big\}$. So Δ^T_+ is a positive root system. ☐

We have two different decompositions of Δ:

$$\Delta = \Delta_+ \cup \Delta_- = \Delta^L \cup \Delta^S\,. \tag{14}$$

with $|\Delta_+| = |\Delta_-|$, while Δ^L and Δ^S contain the long and short roots respectively. It is known that Δ^L is the root system of a semisimple subalgebra, say $\mathfrak{L}^L \subset \mathfrak{L}$ of the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}$ belonging to Δ. As for Δ^S, it is the root system of a subjoined semisimple Lie algebra [7, 8], say $\mathfrak{L}^S < \mathfrak{L}$, which is usually not a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{L}$.

$$\Delta^S \text{ is of type } \begin{cases} nA_1 \text{ in } B_n \\ D_n \text{ in } C_n \\ D_4 \text{ in } F_4 \\ A_2 \text{ in } G_2 \end{cases}, \qquad \Delta^L \text{ is of type } \begin{cases} D_n \text{ in } B_n \\ nA_1 \text{ in } C_n \\ D_4 \text{ in } F_4 \\ A_2 \text{ in } G_2 \end{cases}, \tag{15}$$

where nA_1 denotes the semisimple Lie algebra, $nA_1 = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_1$, ($n$ factors). In (15) we use the known isomorphisms $D_2 \simeq A_1 \times A_1$ and $D_3 \simeq A_3$.

3. The Weyl groups of the root systems

The reflections in the roots of Δ^L and Δ^S generate Weyl groups W^L, W^S which are subgroups of W. Since any element of W leaves invariant both Δ^L and Δ^S, conjugation by elements of W maps reflections in long roots to reflections in long roots, and similarly for short roots. Therefore W^L and W^S are both normal subgroups of W.

However, $W^L \cap W^S$ is not trivial in any of these root systems. In fact, if $W^L \cap W^S = \{1\}$ then, since both W^L and W^S are normal in W, their elements mutually commute and since they contain all the simple reflections they generate W, and we would have $W \simeq W^L \times W^S$. But this does not happen in any of these root systems: the long roots are not orthogonal to all the short roots.

What is true however is that in every case there are subgroups $V^L \subset W^L$ and $V^S \subset W^S$ so that

$$W \simeq V^L \ltimes W^S \qquad \text{and} \qquad W \simeq V^S \ltimes W^L\,, \tag{16}$$

so we can factor elements of W into words that are long × short or short × long, with the full short or long Weyl group appearing as a factor. This factorization is seen to be a key property later.

3.1. **Explicit description of the Weyl groups.**

The roots of the 2-length root systems of the simple Lie groups have the standard representations in terms of an orthonormal basis $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ as follows, [1].

Type B_n: B_n has long roots $\pm\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j$, $i < j = 1, \dots, n$, and short roots $\pm\varepsilon_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. The set of long roots is a root system of type D_n and the set of short roots is of type nA_1. The Weyl group is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes S_n$ with the first factor acting as all possible sign changes on the ε_i and the second as all permutations of the ε_i. One should note that although the factor $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ is the Weyl group W^S of type nA_1 (i.e. the Weyl group of the short roots), the factor S_n is not the Weyl group of the set of long roots. Indeed, the Weyl group of type D_n is the set of all permutations of the ε_i augmented by all even numbers of sign changes.

The Weyl group can be written as

$$W \simeq S_n \ltimes W^S \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \ltimes W^L\,,$$

where the $S_n \subset W^L$ and the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is the group of order 2 generated by a simple sign change on ε_1.

Type C_n: C_n has short roots $\pm\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j$, $i < j = 1, \dots, n$, and long roots $\pm 2\varepsilon_i$ where $i = 1, \dots, n$. The set of short roots is a root system of type D_n and the set of long roots is of type A_1^n. The Weyl group is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes S_n$ with the first factor acting as all possible sign changes on the ε_i and the second as all permutations of the ε_i.

The results are directly analogous to those of type B_n with the roles of long and short reversed.

Type F_4: F_4 has long roots $\pm\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j$ and short roots $\pm\varepsilon_i$, $\frac{1}{2}(\pm\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_3 \pm \varepsilon_4)$. The long roots form one root system of type D_4 and the short roots form a second root system of type D_4. The Weyl group has the structure

$$S_3 \rtimes \left((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3 \rtimes S_4\right)\,.$$

The right hand pair is the Weyl group of the long D_4, interpreted as permutations on the four ε_i and even sets of sign changes on them. The S_3 is the group of diagram automorphisms of the D_4.

Let us see that the S_3 arises from elements in W^S. Consider the basis of simple roots $\{\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_4, \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)\}$ of F_4. This is two long roots followed by two short roots. Evidently W^L together with the group V^S generated by the last two basis elements (which are short roots) generate all of W. Notice that the group W^L permutes epsilons and changes signs.

It does not interchange the short roots of the two different types – those expressed with one epsilon and those expressed with four of them.

$V^S \subset W^S$ has order 6. We want to show that $V^S \cap W^L = \{1\}$. Certainly the basic reflections in ε_4 and $\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)$ are not in W^L since all the reflections in W^L are reflections in long roots. The only other two elements of V^S to worry about are their products. But it is easy to see that $r_{\varepsilon_4} r_{\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3-\varepsilon_4)}$ mixes the two types of short roots, and so is not an element of W^L. The same goes for its inverse. The product $r_{\varepsilon_4} r_{\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3-\varepsilon_4)} r_{\varepsilon_4}$ interchanges some long and short roots.

So this expresses W in the form $V^S \ltimes W^L$. However, the roles of the long and short can be interchanged (the explicit description in terms of sign changes and permutations is lost, but that is not so important) and one obtains a decomposition $W = V^L \ltimes W^S$.

Type G_2: The root system of G_2 has 6 long and 6 short roots. W is the dihedral group of order 12 and W^L and W^S are dihedral groups of order 6. They have the rotations of order 3 in common.

$$W \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \ltimes W^L \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \ltimes W^S$$

where the cyclic group of order 2 is generated by any reflection in a short (resp. long) root.

3.2. **Sign homomorphisms.**

Here we wish to discuss three sign homomorphisms on W. A presentation for W is

$$\langle r_1, \dots, r_n \, : r_i^2 = 1,\, (r_i r_j)^{a_{ij}} = 1,\, i, j = 1, \dots, n,\, i \neq j \rangle\,,$$

where $a_{ij} = 2, 3, 4, 6$ according as nodes i and j in the Coxeter-Dynkin diagram are not joined, joined by a single bond, a double bond, or a triple bond. The statement that this is a presentation means that this set of relations determines the group exactly.

Now consider a homomorphism

$$\sigma : W \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}\,.$$

It is determined by the values $\sigma_i := \sigma(r_i) \in \{\pm 1\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and the necessary and sufficient condition for σ to exist as a homomorphism is that $(\sigma_i \sigma_j)^{a_{ij}} = 1$ for all $i \neq j$. This is automatic if a_{ij} is even. When a_{ij} is odd, i.e. $a_{ij} = 3$, then we need $\sigma_i = \sigma_j$.

As we go along the Dynkin diagram and look at the choices we have for the σ_i, we see that across A-type bonds we cannot change the value σ_i but across every other kind of bond we can. Thus the diagram allows precisely one choice of sign for all the short roots and one for all the long roots, and no other. This gives rise to 4 homomorphisms σ.

id :	all signs equal to 1	(the trivial homomorphism)
σ :	all signs equal to -1	(which is the standard sign function on W)
σ^L :	all long signs equal to -1;	all short signs equal to 1
σ^S :	all short signs equal to -1;	all long signs equal to 1

(17)

This is the way we get sign homomorphisms that only 'see' the long (or the short) reflections. It does not matter whether or not these are basic reflections or any other reflections in any long (or the short) reflections. All get the long or short sign according to the homomorphism that we are using.

Let us now fix the notation for the functions of the four families of W-orbit functions given by the homomorphisms (17).

$$\sum_{w\in W}\kappa(w)e^{2\pi i\langle w(\lambda+\varrho),x\rangle}=\begin{cases} C_\lambda(x) & \kappa=\mathrm{id} \quad \varrho=0\\ S_{\lambda+\varrho}(x) & \kappa=\sigma \quad \varrho=\varrho(\Delta)=\text{half sum of positive roots of }\Delta\\ S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(x) & \kappa=\sigma^L \quad \varrho=\varrho^L=\text{half sum of positive roots of }\Delta^L\\ S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}(x) & \kappa=\sigma^S \quad \varrho=\varrho^S=\text{half sum of positive roots of }\Delta^S\end{cases} \tag{18}$$

Here λ is a dominant weight, $\lambda\in P^+$, and $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$. We also have $\varrho(\Delta)=\varrho(\Delta^L)+\varrho(\Delta^S)$. Clearly for functions based on Lie algebras (2) with only one root length, only the types C- and S- exist.

In view of Corollary 2.1, the functions $C_\lambda(x)$ are formed by a sum of cosine functions, while the S-functions are either sums of cosine or sine functions.

Example 3.1.

Consider a G_2 example. Suppose integers $a,b>0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{a,b}(x)=2(&\cos(2\pi\langle(a,b),x\rangle) &&+\cos(2\pi\langle(-a,3a+b),x\rangle)\\ &+\cos(2\pi\langle(a+b,-b),x\rangle) &&+\cos(2\pi\langle(2a+b,-3a-b),x\rangle)\\ &+\cos(2\pi\langle(-a-b,3a+2b),x\rangle) &&+\cos(2\pi\langle(-2a-b,3a+2b),x\rangle))\,;\\ S_{a,b}(x)=2(&\cos(2\pi\langle(a,b),x\rangle) &&-\cos(2\pi\langle(-a,3a+b),x\rangle)\\ &-\cos(2\pi\langle(a+b,-b),x\rangle) &&+\cos(2\pi\langle(2a+b,-3a-b),x\rangle)\\ &+\cos(2\pi\langle(-a-b,3a+2b),x\rangle) &&-\cos(2\pi\langle(-2a-b,3a+2b),x\rangle))\\ S^L_{a,b}(x)=2i(&\sin(2\pi\langle(a,b),x\rangle) &&-\sin(2\pi\langle(-a,3a+b),x\rangle)\\ &+\sin(2\pi\langle(a+b,-b),x\rangle) &&-\sin(2\pi\langle(2a+b,-3a-b),x\rangle)\\ &-\sin(2\pi\langle(-a-b,3a+2b),x\rangle) &&+\sin(2\pi\langle(-2a-b,3a+2b),x\rangle))\\ S^S_{a,b}(x)=2i(&\sin(2\pi\langle(a,b),x\rangle) &&+\sin(2\pi\langle(-a,3a+b),x\rangle)\\ &-\sin(2\pi\langle(a+b,-b),x\rangle) &&-\sin(2\pi\langle(2a+b,-3a-b),x\rangle)\\ &-\sin(2\pi\langle(-a-b,3a+2b),x\rangle) &&-\sin(2\pi\langle(-2a-b,3a+2b),x\rangle))\end{aligned}$$

Subsequently we also will be interested in the character-like functions

$$\chi_\lambda(x)=\frac{S_{\lambda+\varrho}(x)}{S_\varrho(x)}\,,\qquad \chi^L_\lambda(x)=\frac{S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(x)}{S^L_{\varrho^L}(x)}\,,\qquad \chi^S_\lambda(x)=\frac{S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}(x)}{S^S_{\varrho^S}(x)}\,, \tag{19}$$

all of which can be written as linear combination of C-functions with integer coefficients. Note that an algorithm for computing the coefficients is known only in the case of $\chi_\lambda(x)$, see [9, 10]. In general, many properties of the characters $\chi_\lambda(x)$ are known (see for example [11]), while analogous properties of $\chi^L_\lambda(x)$ and $\chi^S_\lambda(x)$ have never been investigated.

4. FURTHER PROPERTIES OF LONG AND SHORT S- AND C-FUNCTIONS

The facts outlined above allow us to consider various S- and C-functions and to factor them. There are some considerations needed here when weights for the full system are weights for the subsystems involved and where the arguments x at which we evaluate these functions lie, but leaving these technical details at the side for now, we can work formally.

Consider a typical S-function

$$S_{\lambda+\varrho}(x) = \sum_{w\in W} \sigma(w) e^{2\pi i\langle w(\lambda+\varrho),x\rangle}\,.$$

Now consider the modified S-function

$$S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(x) = \sum_{w\in W} \sigma^L(w) e^{2\pi i\langle w(\lambda+\varrho^L),x\rangle}\,.$$

Writing $W = V^S \ltimes W^L$ we can write each $w \in W$ uniquely as $w = uv$ where $u \in W^L$, $v \in V^S$ (we can write the product in this order since we have a semi-direct product) and we note that $\sigma^L(uv) = \sigma^L(u)\sigma^L(v) = \sigma^L(u)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(x) &= \sum_{w\in W} \sigma^L(w)\, e^{2\pi i\langle w(\lambda+\varrho^L),x\rangle} \\ &= \sum_{v\in V^S}\sum_{u\in W^L} \sigma^L(u)\, e^{2\pi i\langle uv(\lambda+\varrho^L,x\rangle} \\ &= \sum_{v\in V^S} S^{\Delta^L}_{v(\lambda+\varrho^L)}(x)\,. \end{aligned}$$

This writes the modified S-function as a sum of S-functions for the long root system Δ^L.

If we go to the C-functions, $\chi_{\lambda+\varrho}$ we need to divide the S-functions (19) by the denominator

$$\Pi_{\alpha\in\Delta^+}\left(e^{\pi i\langle\alpha,x\rangle} - e^{-\pi i\langle\alpha,x\rangle}\right) = \Pi_{\alpha\in\Delta^L_+}\left(e^{\pi i\langle\alpha,x\rangle} - e^{-\pi i\langle\alpha,x\rangle}\right)\Pi_{\alpha\in\Delta^S_+}\left(e^{\pi i\langle\alpha,x\rangle} - e^{-\pi i\langle\alpha,x\rangle}\right)\,.$$

Then denoting by $\chi^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(x)$ the character of the Lie algebra of the type given by the long roots,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(x) &= \frac{\sum_{w\in W}\sigma^L(w)\, e^{2\pi i\langle w(\lambda+\varrho^L),x\rangle}}{\Pi_{\alpha\in\Delta^L_+}\left(e^{\pi i\langle\alpha,x\rangle} - e^{-\pi i\langle\alpha,x\rangle}\right)\Pi_{\alpha\in\Delta^S_+}\left(e^{\pi i\langle\alpha,x\rangle} - e^{-\pi i\langle\alpha,x\rangle}\right)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{v\in V^S}\chi^L_{v(\lambda+\varrho^L)}(x)}{\Pi_{\alpha\in\Delta^S_+}\left(e^{\pi i\langle\alpha,x\rangle} - e^{-\pi i\langle\alpha,x\rangle}\right)}\,. \end{aligned}$$

One can interchange the roles of long and short in this.

4.1. **Properties of the denominator terms.**

Let

$$\varrho^T := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^T_+}\alpha$$

and let W^T be the Weyl group of Δ^T.

Proposition 4.1.

$$\sum_{w\in W^T}\sigma^T(w)e^{2\pi i w\varrho^T} = \Pi_{\alpha\in\Delta^T_+}\left(e^{\pi i\alpha} - e^{-\pi i\alpha}\right) =: D^T \tag{20}$$

Proof. σ^T is the usual sign function for W^T. Thus the result is simply the usual formula that holds for all root systems. □

Proposition 4.2. For all $w \in W$, $wD^T = \sigma^T(w)D^T$

Proof. Each $w \in W$ permutes the roots of Δ^T. In fact, it permutes the root pairs $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}, \alpha \in \Delta_+^T$ and hence it permutes the factors $e^{\pi i\alpha} - e^{-\pi i\alpha}$ of D^T, perhaps interchanging the order of the two summands. Thus $wD^T = \gamma(w)D^T$ where $\gamma(w) = \pm 1$. From $(w'w)D^T = w'(wD^T)$ we see that $\gamma(w'w) = \gamma(w')\gamma(w)$, so γ is a homomorphism, $\gamma : W \to \{\pm 1\}$.

Let Π^T be the set of simple roots for Δ_+^T. We obtain for $\alpha \in \Pi^T$:

$$r_\alpha D^T = \left(e^{-\pi i\alpha} - e^{\pi i\alpha}\right) \Pi_{\beta\in\Delta_+^T\setminus\{\alpha\}} \left(e^{\pi i\beta} - e^{-\pi i\beta}\right) = -D^T$$

so $\gamma(r_\alpha) = -1$. Any $\beta \in \Delta^T$ is $\beta = w\alpha$ for some $w \in W$ and $\alpha \in \Pi^T$. Then

$$\gamma(r_\beta) = \gamma(wr_\alpha w^{-1}) = \gamma(w)\gamma(r_\alpha)\gamma(w^{-1}) = -\gamma(w)\gamma(w)^{-1} = -1$$

so $\gamma(r_\beta) = -1$ for all $\beta \in \Delta^T$. On the other hand if α is a simple root of Δ_+ and $\alpha \notin \Delta^T$ then since $r_\alpha(\Delta_+ \setminus \{\alpha\}) = \Delta_+ \setminus \{\alpha\}$ we see that $r_\alpha\Delta_+^T = \Delta_+^T$, so $r_\alpha D^T = D^T$ and $\gamma(r_\alpha) = 1$. Using the same argument as above we get $\gamma(r_\alpha) = 1$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \{\Delta^T\}$. Thus γ is precisely σ^T, as we had claimed. ☐

4.2. **The ring of exponential functions.**

Let P be the weight system for Δ. Let $\mathbb{Z}[P]$ be the usual ring of exponentials. Define

$$\mathbb{Z}[P]^T = \left\{f \in \mathbb{Z}[P] \mid wf = \sigma^T(w)f \text{ for all } w \in W\right\} .$$

Let $\mathbb{Z}[P]^W$ denote the ring of W-invariant elements of $\mathbb{Z}[P]$.

Proposition 4.3. $\mathbb{Z}[P]^T = \mathbb{Z}[P]^W D^T$.

Proof. Note that for any $g \in \mathbb{Z}[P]^W$, $w(gD^T) = (wg)(wD^T) = g\sigma^T(w)D^T = \sigma^T(w)gD^T$. This shows that $\mathbb{Z}[P]^W D^T \subset \mathbb{Z}[P]^T$.

Now we show the reverse inclusion. Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[P]^T$ and write $f = \sum_{\mu\in P} c_\mu e^{2\pi i\mu}$. Let $\alpha \in \Delta_+^T$. Then $-f = r_\alpha f = \sum c_\mu e^{2\pi i r_\alpha \mu}$ and so, $-f = \sum -c_{r_\alpha\mu} e^{2\pi i r_\alpha\mu} = \sum -c_\mu e^{2\pi i\mu} = \sum c_\mu e^{2\pi i r_\alpha\mu}$.

Thus we can divide $\{\mu \mid c_\mu \neq 0\}$ into pairs $\{\mu_1, \mu_2\}$ where $\mu_2 = r_\alpha\mu_1$ and $c_{\mu_2} = -c_{\mu_1}$. We can also order μ_1, μ_2 so that $\mu_2 = \mu_1 - z_\alpha\alpha$ where $z_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}, z_\alpha > 0$ (note that if $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ then $c_\mu = c_{-\mu}$, so $c_\mu = 0$). Thus $f = \sum_{\mu\in S} c_\mu \left(e^{2\pi i\mu} - e^{2\pi i(\mu - z_\alpha\alpha)}\right)$ for some finite subset $S \subset P$.

Since $e^{2\pi i\mu} - e^{2\pi i(\mu - z_\alpha\alpha)} = e^{2\pi i\mu}\left(1 - e^{-2\pi i z_\alpha\alpha}\right)$ and $\left(1 - e^{-2\pi i\alpha}\right)$ is always a factor of $\left(1 - e^{-2\pi i z_\alpha\alpha}\right)$, we obtain $f = \left(1 - e^{-2\pi i\alpha}\right) f_\alpha$ for some $f_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[P]$; and this statement is true for every $\alpha \in \Delta_+^T$. Now using [1] Ch.6, we have that $\left\{1 - e^{-2\pi i\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta_+\right\}$ are all relatively prime, and hence from $\left(1 - e^{-2\pi i\alpha}\right) \mid f$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta_+^T$ we obtain $\Pi_{\alpha\in\Delta_+^T}\left(1 - e^{-2\pi i\alpha}\right) \mid f$, i.e. there is $f_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[P]$ so that

$$f = \Pi_{\alpha\in\Delta_+^T}\left(1 - e^{-2\pi i\alpha}\right) f_0 = \Pi_{\alpha\in\Delta_+^T} e^{-\pi i\alpha} \Pi_{\alpha\in\Delta_+^T}\left(e^{\pi i\alpha} - e^{-\pi i\alpha}\right) f_0 = e^{-\pi i\varrho^T} f_0 D^T .$$

Also for $w \in W$, $\sigma^T(w)f = wf = w(e^{-\pi i\varrho^T} f_0)wD^T = w(e^{-\pi i\varrho^T} f_0)\sigma^T(w)D^T$, so $e^{-\pi i\varrho^T} f_0 = w(e^{-\pi i\varrho^T} f_0)$. This is true for all $w \in W$, so $e^{-\pi i\varrho^T} f_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[P]^W$. Thus $f \in \mathbb{Z}[P]^W D^T$, showing that $\mathbb{Z}[P]^T \subset \mathbb{Z}[P]^W D^T$. ☐

Proposition 4.4. The linear span of the C-,S-,S^L-,S^S- functions in $\mathbb{Z}[P]$ are $\mathbb{Z}[P]^W D^T$, where $T = 0, 1, L, S$ respectively.

Proof. We have the span of the

- C-functions: $\mathbb{Z}[P]^W = \mathbb{Z}[P]^W D^0$,

- S-functions: $\mathbb{Z}[P]^W D$,
- S^L-functions: $\mathbb{Z}[P]^W D^L$,
- S^S-functions: $\mathbb{Z}[P]^W D^S$.

□

Corollary 4.5. Every element of $\mathbb{Z}[P]^W D^T$ is uniquely expressible as

$$\sum c_\lambda \chi_\lambda D^T , \qquad c_\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where λ runs over a finite subset of P_+.

Proof. $\{\chi_\lambda \mid \lambda \in P_+\}$ form a $\mathbb{Z}-$basis for the $\mathbb{Z}-$span of the $C-$functions. □

5. THE NEW FAMILIES OF ORBIT FUNCTIONS, S^L AND S^S

The functions of the families C and S are known for all compact simple Lie groups. Therefore the subject of the rest of the paper is focused on properties of functions of the families S^L and S^S for the compact simple Lie groups with 2-root lengths (1).

5.1. (Anti)symmetry properties of S^L.

Transformation of the orbit functions

$$S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(x) = \sum_{w\in W} \sigma^L(w) e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}\langle w(\lambda+\varrho^L),x\rangle} , \qquad \lambda \in P^+ , \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{21}$$

with respect to $w \in W$ involve the sign function $\sigma^L(w)$:

$$S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(wx) = \sigma^L(w) S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(x) \tag{22}$$

$$S^L_{w(\lambda+\varrho^L)}(x) = \sigma^L(w) S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(x) . \tag{23}$$

We also have invariance with respect to $\check{q} \in \check{Q}$:

$$S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(x+\check{q}) = S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(x) , \qquad \check{q} \in \check{Q} . \tag{24}$$

Relations (22) and (24) imply that it is sufficient to consider $S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}$ with $x \in F$. In particular, we have

$$S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(r_i x) = -S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(x) , \quad \text{if } r_i \text{ corresponds to a long root} \tag{25}$$

$$S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(r_i x) = S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(x) , \quad \text{if } r_i \text{ corresponds to a short root} \tag{26}$$

and since r_0 is a reflection in a long root modulo $\check{Q}$, we also have

$$S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(r_0 x) = -S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(x) . \tag{27}$$

The relations (25) and (27) imply that $S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}$ is equal to zero for certain part of the boundary of fundamental domain. More precisely, $S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}$ of G_2 vanishes at the faces y_0, y_1; $S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}$ of B_n vanishes at y_i, $i = 0 \dots n-1$; $S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}$ of C_n vanishes at y_0, y_n; $S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}$ of F_4 vanishes at y_i, $i = 0, 1, 2$.

5.2. **Continuous orthogonality.**

For $\lambda, \mu \in P^+$, the following orthogonality relation holds:

$$|F|^{-1} \int_F S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(x) \overline{S^L_{\mu+\varrho^L}(x)}\, dx = \frac{|W|^2}{|W_{\lambda+\varrho^L}|} \delta_{\lambda\mu} \,. \tag{28}$$

Here $|W|$ is the order of the Weyl group, $|W_{\lambda+\varrho^L}|$ denotes the size (number of elements) in the Weyl group orbit $W_{\lambda+\varrho^L}$ containing the point $\lambda + \varrho^L$, and $|F|$ is the volume of F.

Proof. We use the fact that the exponential functions (entering into the definition of orbit functions) are orthogonal on the fundamental domain F, i.e.

$$|F|^{-1} \int_F e^{2\pi i\langle\lambda,x\rangle} \overline{e^{2\pi i\langle\mu,x\rangle}} dx = \delta_{\lambda\mu} \,.$$

Thus, we have

$$|F|^{-1} \int_F S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(x) \overline{S^L_{\mu+\varrho^L}(x)}\, dx = |F|^{-1} \sum_{w\in W} \sigma^L(w) \sum_{w'\in W} \sigma^L(w') \int_F e^{2\pi i\langle w(\lambda+\varrho^L),x\rangle} \overline{e^{2\pi i\langle w'(\mu+\varrho^L),x\rangle}}$$

$$= \sum_{w\in W} \sigma^L(w) \sum_{w'\in W} \sigma^L(w') \delta_{w(\lambda+\varrho^L) w'(\mu+\varrho^L)} \,.$$

Now, $w(\lambda + \varrho^L) = w'(\mu + \varrho^L)$ can only happen if $\lambda = \mu$ and $w' \in w\mathrm{Stab}_W(\lambda + \varrho^L)$, where $\mathrm{Stab}_W(\lambda + \varrho^L)$ is the stabilizer of $\lambda + \varrho^L$ in W (which is a subgroup of W^S). Writing each w' in the form ws with $s \in \mathrm{Stab}_W(\lambda + \varrho^L)$ we obtain in the case that $\mu = \lambda$,

$$|F|^{-1} \int_F S^L_{\lambda+\varrho^L}(x) \overline{S^L_{\mu+\varrho^L}(x)}\, dx = \sum_{w\in W} \sum_{s\in \mathrm{Stab}_W(\lambda+\varrho^L)} \sigma^L(s) = \frac{|W|^2}{|W_{\lambda+\varrho^L}|} \,.$$

□

5.3. **(Anti)symmetry properties of S^S.**

Transformation of the orbit functions

$$S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}(x) = \sum_{w\in W} \sigma^S(w) e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}\langle w(\lambda+\varrho^S),x\rangle} \,, \qquad \lambda \in P^+ \,, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{29}$$

with respect to $w \in W$ involve the sign function $\sigma^S(w)$

$$S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}(wx) = \sigma^S(w) S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}(x) \tag{30}$$

$$S^S_{w(\lambda+\varrho^S)}(x) = \sigma^S(w) S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}(x) \tag{31}$$

We also have invariance with respect to $\check{q} \in \check{Q}$

$$S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}(x + \check{q}) = S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}(x) \tag{32}$$

Relations (30) and (32) imply that it is sufficient to consider $S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}$ on the fundamental domain of the affine Weyl group F.

Furthermore, we have

$$S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}(r_i x) = -S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}(x) \,, \quad \text{if } r_i \text{ corresponds to a short root} \tag{33}$$

$$S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}(r_i x) = S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}(x) \,, \quad \text{if } r_i \text{ corresponds to a long root} \tag{34}$$

$$S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}(r_0 x) = S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}(x) \,. \tag{35}$$

The relation (33) implies that $S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}$ is equal to zero for certain part of the boundary of fundamental domain. Precisely, $S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}$ of G_2 vanishes at y_2, $S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}$ of B_n vanishes at y_n, $S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}$ of C_n vanishes at $y_i, i = 1 \dots n-1$, $S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}$ of F_4 vanishes at y_3, y_4.

5.4. **Continuous orthogonality.**

The formula for continuous continuity parallels the one developed for the long roots. For $\lambda, \mu \in P^+$, the following orthogonality relation holds:

$$|F|^{-1} \int_F S^S_{\lambda+\varrho^S}(x)\overline{S^S_{\mu+\varrho^S}(x)}\, dx = \frac{|W|^2}{|W_{\lambda+\varrho^S}|}\delta_{\lambda\mu} \tag{36}$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of (28). ☐

6. Concluding remarks

The analogy between the orbit functions C and S and the new one S^S and S^L is clearly very close, many properties of the old functions will probably appear as properties of new functions with slight modifications, therefore the study of those properties would be desirable. We point out here some of the questions which are interesting in our opinion. 1. Decomposition of products:

A product of any two functions of the families C, S, S^L, and S^S decomposes to a sum of functions of one of the families. See examples in [3, 5].

2. E-functions: Similarly as a sum of common cosine and sine function of the same argument produce the exponential function, also sums of two functions with the same argument but from different families produce an E-functions, see examples in [4]. Thus there are in general six different families of E-functions. The rank two cases are described in [12].

3. Discrete orthogonality: Similarly as the functions of the C and S families are orthogonal on lattice points inside F when their values at the lattice points are added up (with an appropriate weight function, see in [13, 14, 15]), also the functions within the S^L and S^S families would be orthogonal. Specific details would be very interesting to describe.

4. Polynomials: By a judicious choice of the functions that are multiplied, starting from the lowest ones, one can build polynomials where variables are the lowest of the functions. A few examples can be found in [3, 16].

5. The C and S functions are eigenfunctions of the corresponding Laplace operators, see examples in [17, 18]. The same Laplace operators would act on the S^L and S^S functions with different eigenvalues.

6. A semisimple Lie algebra formed by two simple ideals also can be understood as having different root length in each ideal. The number of possibilities grows rapidly, when considering more than two ideals forming the semisimple Lie algebra. In addition some of the simple ideals may have two root lengths, etc.. It is conceivable that in some applications such possibilities will be advantageous.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Work supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. All the authors are grateful for partial support from the MIND Research Institute of Santa Ana, California. LM is grateful for the hospitality extended to her at the Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal.

REFERENCES

[1] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie, Ch. 4,5,6, Éléments de Mathématiques,* Hermann, Paris, 1968. pages 1, 5, 9

[2] A.U. Klimyk, J. Patera, *(Anti)symmetric multidimensional trigonometric functions and the corresponding Fourier transforms,* J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007), 093504, 24 pages; arXiv:0705.4186v1. pages 1

[3] L. Motlochová, J. Patera, *Four families of orthogonal polynomials of C_2 and symmetric and antisymmetric generalizations of sine and cosine functions,* (2011), 23 pages; arXiv:1101.3597. pages 1, 12

[4] A.U. Klimyk, J. Patera, *E-orbit functions,* SIGMA (Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications) **4** (2008), 002, 57 pages; arXiv:0801.0822. pages 2, 12

[5] M. Szajewska, *Four types of special functions of G_2 and their discretization,* Integral Transforms and Special Functions **22** (2011), 18 pages, arXiv:101.2502v1. pages 2, 12

[6] J.-P. Serre, *Algèbres de Lie Semi-simples Complexes*, Benjamin, 1966. [English trans. *Complex Semisimple Lie Algebras*, Springer, 2001.] pages 4

[7] J. Patera, R. T. Sharp, R. Slansky, *On a new relation between semisimple Lie algebras,* J. Math. Phys. **21** (1980), 2335-2341. pages 4

[8] R.V. Moody, A. Pianzola, *λ-mapping between representation rings of Lie algebras,*. Canad. J. Math. **35** (1983), 898-960. pages 4

[9] R. V. Moody, J. Patera, *Fast recursion formula for weight multiplicities,* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1982), 237-242. pages 7

[10] M. R. Bremner, R. V. Moody, J. Patera, *Tables of dominant weight multiplicities for representations of simple Lie algebras,* Marcel Dekker, New York 1985, 340 pages, ISBN: 0-8247-7270-9. pages 7

[11] R. V. Moody, J. Patera, *Characters of elements of finite order in simple Lie groups,* SIAM J. on Algebraic and Discrete Methods **5** (1984), 359-383. pages 7

[12] L. Háková, J. Hrivnák, J. Patera, *Six types of E-functions of the Lie groups $O(5)$ and $G(2)$*, in preparation. pages 12

[13] J.Hrivnák, J.Patera, *On discretization of tori of compact simple Lie groups*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42** (2009) 385208, 26 pages. pages 12

[14] J. Patera, A. Zaratsyan, *Discrete and continuous sine transform generalized to the semisimple Lie groups of rank two,* J. Math. Phys. **47** (2006) 043512, 22 pages. pages 12

[15] J. Patera, A. Zaratsyan, *Discrete and continuous cosine transform generalized to the Lie groups $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ and $O(5)$,* J. Math. Phys. **46** (2005) 053514, 25 pages. pages 12

[16] M. Nesterenko, J. Patera, A. Tereszkiewicz *Orthogonal polynomials of compact simple Lie groups,* International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences (2011) Article ID 969424, 23 pages. pages 12

[17] A.U. Klimyk, J. Patera, *Orbit functions,* SIGMA (Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications) **2** (2006), 006, 60 pages; math-ph/0601037. pages 12

[18] A.U. Klimyk, J. Patera, *Antisymmetric orbit functions,* SIGMA (Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications) **3** (2007), paper 023, 83 pages; math-ph/0702040v1. pages 12